

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

FRIDAY, THE 4TH MAY 2007 / 14TH VAISAKHA 1929

WP(C).No. 13466 of 2007(C)

PETITIONERS:

1. K.AMEER ALI, S/O. SAIDALI,
KINATTINGAL HOUSE, KARUVAMBRAM, MANJERI,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.
2. N. SAIDALAVI, S/O. VEERAN,
KUNJILANKUNNU HOUSE, MONGAM P.O.,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJEEV KUMAR K.GOPAL

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
MALAPPURAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.
2. THE SECRETARY,
R.T.A., MALAPPURAM.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. BASANT BALAJI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 04/05/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J

W.P.(C).NO. 13466 OF 2007

Dated this the 4th day of May, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The first petitioner is the registered owner of stage carriage bearing registration number KL 10/Y 395. The above vehicle is covered with a regular permit to operate on the route Thirumanikkara Temple- Malappuram-Karipur Airport, as per permit No.C6/12/2006M, which is valid up to 19.3.2011.

2. Seeking for a transfer of the permit the petitioners have submitted a joint application before the respondents, as evidenced by Ext.P1.

The grievance of the petitioners projected in the Writ Petition is that even though Ext.P1 is pending for consideration for the last two months, no action has been taken on the same by the first respondent. It is his case that even though a meeting was scheduled for the month of March, the same was included in the meeting but was adjourned indefinitely.

3. According to the petitioner, 2nd respondent is empowered to invoke Rule 130 of Kerala Motor Vehicles Rules, in such circumstances.

In the above the Writ Petition is disposed of directing the second respondent to take appropriate action as permissible under law, on Ext.P1, within a period of two months from the date of production of a copy of this judgment.

T.R.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

bkn